

A Web Based Asynchronous Virtual Conference

- A Case Study

Dr. Joseph E. Kasser
 Systems Engineering and Evaluation Centre (SEEC)
 University of South Australia
 School of Physics and Electronic Systems Engineering
 The Levels Campus, Building F.
 Mawson Lakes, South Australia, 5095
 Email: joseph.kasser@unisa.edu.au

Abstract. This paper describes the Web Based Asynchronous Virtual Conference (WebConference), its advantages and disadvantages as compared to a traditional synchronous conference. The paper also treats the development of the WebConference as a Case Study, discusses the prototyping experiments using the world as a laboratory and provides several lessons about the requirements and systems engineering processes.

Keywords. Internet, Virtual Conference, Requirements Engineering, Case Study, Distance Learning.

INTRODUCTION

The Web Based Asynchronous Virtual Conference (WebConference) makes use of the World Wide Web (WWW) to free systems and software engineers from the constraints of attending conferences, continuing education classes and project reviews in real time. At first glance, the conference web site appears to be a traditional conference web site. The major innovation in Web Based Asynchronous Virtual Conference is that the participants can view the presentations by clicking on the appropriate title. A prototype WebConference can be seen at [HREF1]. A description of the Web-Conference was given at the INCOSE symposium in Brighton in 1999 (Kasser 1999w).

The advantages of the Web Based Asynchronous Virtual Conference include:

- Participants no longer miss sessions because they are in another one.
- Travel time and costs are reduced to zero.

- The session is available for posterity long after the conference is over.
- There is no time limit on a presentation. Unlike in a traditional conference, in which the session is time limited, in the WebConference a presenter can take as much time as is needed to explain the topic. The audience is free to stop viewing the presentation at any time.

BACKGROUND

In 1997 INCOSE announced that the 2001 international symposium would take place in Sydney Australia. While holding the symposium outside the country is laudable for internationalizing the organization, it presents a high risk to the domestic US. organization. Employers fund the attendance of most domestic members at the symposia, and it seemed likely that the employers would not:

- Be willing to fund the added expense of the overseas trip to Australia.
- Allow key employees to take time away from work to travel to Australia.

Consequently, the level of attendance by stateside members was expected to drop. In an attempt to mitigate this risk, (Kasser and Weiskopf, 1998) proposed to use technology to manufacture a real time multi-location tele-conference based on the INCOSE Sydney symposium in 2001. The tele-conference was proposed as an event with simultaneous participation (presentation and audiences) in several locations around the world, i.e., Australia, USA (East and West Coasts), Canada, Europe, Africa, etc.

In the time interval between the submission of the paper and the presentation at the symposium, Dr. Kasser was pioneering asynchronous audio enhancement of on-line class lectures for distance education at the Graduate School of Management and Technology at University of Maryland University College (UMUC). Recognizing that conference presentations and classroom lectures are similar, the authors prototyped an approach for delivering presentations asynchronously at the conference by prerecording the audio enhanced PowerPoint presentation onto a CD-ROM and playing the recorded presentation to the conference session without notifying the audience of what was to happen¹. The audience in fact did a double take when the presentation began, and the voice was uninterrupted as the speaker raised a soft drink can to his lips and drank deeply.

PROTOTYPING CHANGES IN REAL TIME

As with many projects resources were very limited for this project. Now INCOSE wasn't able to provide the opportunity for prototyping, so other opportunities were taken as and when they occurred.

Dr. Kasser continued to use voice enhanced technology in his class on Software Maintenance (CSMN 648) to deliver the first class that used a full semester's worth of audio enhanced lectures in distance learning at UMUC in fall 1998 (Kasser and Kerby 1999). Having solved the problem of how the instructor delivered audio enhanced presentations in the distance learning environment; attention turned to how the students could:

- communicate synchronously using voice over the Internet, and
- deliver their audio enhanced classroom presentations in an asynchronous manner.

The voice over the internet problem was solved by the PhoneFree shareware software package which allows a group to communicate by typing, while simultaneously providing the capability for point to point voice communications.

The students access the audio enhanced lectures from a web page. It was quite possible for

the students to access their presentations in a similar manner. And, if they were using a web page, then other features could be added to create a web based conference. Now, Dr. Kasser was also the instructor for the Software Engineering Project class in the Master of Software Engineering (MSWE) degree in spring 1999 at UMUC². He introduced the concept of the WebConference as a final project to the class and a group of students then built the prototype over the course of the spring 1999 semester³. Dr Kasser set up a website for an experimental conference in February 1999 and requested submissions of presentations on systems and software engineering that had been made at conferences within the previous three years. The response from INCOSE was somewhat less than enthusiastic⁴.

The Fourth Annual Intelligence Community Desktop Collaboration Conference and Expo. in Virginia Beach, VA, in May 1999 used distance presentation technology in one session. The presentation was given via distance techniques in the synchronous mode. The presenter, Doug Vogel of City University of Hong Kong, was located in Hong Kong and made the synchronous presentation using Microsoft NetMeeting software. The

² This is the capstone course in the program. It may be considered as a comprehensive examination covering the application of the tools, skills and techniques the students have acquired in the course of their studies. This course provides experience in applying software-engineering techniques by giving the students an opportunity to produce software working in teams under the schedule constraints commonly experienced in industry. The instructor emulates the vagueness shown by typical customers in describing requirements. The instructor serves as a guide and mentor, not as a traditional teacher. The students are expected to have acquired the knowledge of what to do and how to do it from the prerequisite classes. It is up to the students to form their own teams (organization) and schedule their work to meet the deadlines imposed by the contract (syllabus).

³ As the programmers on the student team worked at UMUC and were involved with maintaining and upgrading the UMUC distance learning platform, Web-Tycho, the WebConference was built to seamlessly interface with WebTycho in a future release of Web-Tycho.

⁴ One person submitted her PowerPoint presentations, but audio never followed.

¹ A first at an INCOSE symposium if not elsewhere, although the CD-ROM presentation was tested at an INCOSE-WMA Chapter meeting before the conference.

screen in the conference room showed:

- A small talking head of the presenter which was updated about once a second. There didn't seem to be much value added in the slight movement, it was more distracting than additive.
- The PowerPoint slides for the presentation, which were controlled in the conference room.
- A text window for typing questions back to the presenter. However, only the session chair could type into the communications link.

While there were several noticeable hits on the presenter's voice during the presentation, the link was reasonable and there was little difference between that technology-enhanced presentation and the following conventional presentation.

The Web initiative in Teaching conference at UMUC in May 1999 provided an opportunity for (Kasser et al. 1999) to give a workshop on producing audio enhanced PowerPoint presentations. The workshop was presented (using the output of the process being demonstrated) by means of synchronous streaming technology over the Local Area Network (LAN) at UMUC. A few audio dropouts were also noted. Since the presenter was in the room with the audience he was able to provide a meta-commentary on the network effects on the presentation as well as partake in the discussions.

The INCOSE Brighton experiment. The asynchronous presentation technology was again used in the INCOSE symposium in Brighton. (Kasser 1999w) made a presentation in a panel forum from his desk at UMUC [HREF2]. The presentation, which described the capabilities of the WebConference, was played from a CR-ROM in the session room. As the presentation was in progress, Dr. Kasser connected to the session room via the Internet using Phonefree software for voice over the Internet. Dr. Kasser was able to hear the end of his asynchronous presentation then take part in the synchronous question and answer discussions. The quality of the audio, as measured by an ear, was as good as a telephone. Since Phonefree has a text mode link in parallel with the audio link, several of his students were able to monitor the text communications between Dr. Kasser and the session chair.

The first WebConference experiment. The first use of the Web Based Asynchronous Virtual Conference was in the summer 1999 Semester in two classes in the MSWE degree. Students in the -

- Two sections of the distance education class on Software Maintenance were allowed to make audio presentations to their peers as if they had been in the classroom.
- Face to face class Systems Engineering were offered the opportunity to use the audio enhancement technology and record their presentation instead of making it in front of the class. As a further experiment, one recorded presentation was mixed in with the live presentations on one of the evenings that the student presentations were made.

The Fifth International Conference on Asynchronous Learning Networks (ALN), held at UMUC in October 1999 provided another opportunity to use the technology to discuss the technology. The purpose of the experiment was to repeat the Brighton experiment, but over a link between UMUC and the University of South Australia (UniSA) in Adelaide. Thus the (Kasser and Cohen 1999) presentation was recorded to CD-ROM for asynchronous delivery, and the discussion would be synchronous (at 1 a.m. Adelaide time). The experiment failed because the synchronous discussion could not be held as the conference room at UMUC could not be connected to the Internet in a timely manner⁵.

SETE 99. Two weeks later a preplanned opportunity arose to test the links the other way round. The Systems Engineering, Test & Evaluation Conference (SETE-99) Conceiving, Producing and Guaranteeing Quality Systems was held in Adelaide. The (Kasser Goff amd MacKenzie 1999) panel was a full-scale panel forum using a mixture of techniques -

- Kasser gave his presentation by playing the CD-ROM recording in the session. The discussion was synchronous. This was a repeat of the INCOSE Vancouver 1998 experiment with identical results.

⁵ The rooms are configured for Internet connections, but the sockets have to be connected to the LAN each time an Internet link is needed.

- MacKenzie's presentation was made in an asynchronous manner from a CD-ROM. There was no discussion.
- Goff's presentation was made via real-time streaming technology from the UMUC server. The link, which stretched half way around the world, was as good if not better, than the one on UMUC's LAN in May⁶. Dr. Goff was also on-line for the synchronous post presentation discussion.

There were technical difficulties during the SETE-99 panel. While the Phonefree text link worked very well, the audio portion could not be made to work. The modem in the laptop computer also did not work and a spare laptop had to be utilized⁷. However, by changing the order of the speakers, and using the time taken by the asynchronous presentations for some judicious real-time troubleshooting the show was completed. This had been a live link and it had (sort of) worked. Only the session chair knew how close it had come to being a one-man synchronous show.

The DCNet99 conference in November and December 1999 [HREF3] was a fully online conference on the future of design computing sponsored by The International Journal of Design Computing and hosted at the University of Sydney in Australia. The Conference began with a two-week period, starting on November 16, 1999, in which the accepted presentations were available for comment and threaded discussion. This was followed by four days of synchronous discussion, November 30, to December 3, in a Virtual Conference Room using a MOO environment⁸ with slides a whiteboard, and talking by typing.

OBSERVATIONS ON THE CASE STUDY

When the (Kasser and Weiskopf, 1998) paper was written, the concept was for a totally syn-

⁶ Murphy must have been in the audience.

⁷ The author gratefully acknowledges the help of David Harris of the Systems Engineering and Evaluation Center at the UniSA for the loan of his laptop computer.

⁸ "MOO" is a complex acronym for MUD, Object Oriented, where MUD is itself the acronym for multi-user Dimensions/Dungeons, a text-based virtual reality originally used for the type of computer games known as Dungeons and Dragons.

chronous multi-site conference with bi-directional audio-video links. However, a totally synchronous conference is prone to a number of disadvantages including, but not limited to:

- **Communications dropouts** - The communications links have to work perfectly all the time at all site locations.
- **Cost of communications links** - Given that communications costs were falling and costs in 2001 were expected to be much lower than costs in 1997, the costs could still be prohibitive if two way video were to be used. However, the need for two way video was uncertain.

While there are several different approaches to synchronous video over the Internet, they are all technology driven, not application driven. These experiments showed that when the lights are down in the conference room, there is little non-verbal communication from the presenter. If the presenter chooses to take questions at the end of the talk, there is no difference between her delivering the presentation synchronously or asynchronously. There thus seems to be no requirement to see the presenter during the presentation, just the presentation graphics.

Students' comments on the difference between the recorded and live presentations were that they couldn't interrupt the recorded presentation with a question. This was the same response to the question posed to the audience in the first demonstration/experiment at INCOSE in Vancouver. Thus, since conference sessions generally comprise a presentation then a discussion, there is no requirement for the presentation to be synchronous.

Comparing the session in Virginia Beach to the (Kasser and Weiskopf, 1998) presentation, and the SETE-99 Panel, there didn't seem to be any real advantage in the synchronous presentation over the asynchronous one. In fact the asynchronous presentation is more robust than the synchronous presentation as shown in SETE-99. This observation reinforced the perception that asynchronous presentations are better even in a synchronous conference⁹. Prerecorded asynchronous presentations also overcome the "no show" prob-

⁹ They can be edited to firm up the presentation by deleting ums, and errs, etc. They can also be set to an exact time so the session does not overrun.

lem faced by the conference organizers that results in last minute schedule changes.

In the DCNet99 conference, the asynchronous discussions suffered from the same problem as the UMUC student WebConference. The presenters did not always return to the thread to answer posted questions. The synchronous presentation and discussion had several disadvantages, the most notable being:

- The times were equally inconvenient for everybody. For example, the third session of DCNet99 was held at a time that was 8 a.m. in Sydney, 4 p.m. in New York and 10 p.m. in Zurich.
- Routine activities preempted this author's attendance at the scheduled times for all sessions. As the synchronous discussions were recorded they could be viewed after the sessions had terminated which made the real-time session unnecessary.
- The MOO environment had to be learned to find one's way around the conference. The MOO environment also showed the actions of the participants. So it was distracting to read that Fred had left the room in the middle of the speaker's session text.

After experiencing asynchronous audio enhanced presentations at the INCOSE and SETE conferences, the DCNet99 was a disappointment.

THE MOVING TARGET

While this research was in progress, the world did not stand still and wait. The state of the art in distance education was advancing, as was the availability of conference papers on the WWW. The organizers of the AusWeb99 conference actively sought to change the discourse within their conference. The papers were available on the Web a month before the conference, and were to be couriered to participants a week or two before the conference (Debreceny and Ellis 1999). The objective was for participants to have worked out which papers they're going to attend and, at worst, skimmed or, at best, read the papers before they arrive at the conference.

During the course of the project, people have repeatedly stated that the WebConference will never replace a live conference. If a paradigm shift is permitted, and as (Kuhn 1970) stated they

hardly ever are, the situation can be seen differently. Instead of thinking of live, web assisted and web-based conferences, think of a continuum with synchronous at one end, and asynchronous conferences at the other. It can then be perceived that conferences held for different purposes might use a different mix of synchronous and asynchronous techniques and there is a place for a totally asynchronous conference.

THE EVOLUTION OF THE CONCEPT

Like any other system engineering project, this project is an example of the concept evolving as the project progressed. The concept changed as follows:

1997 - Multi-site real-time traditional conference characterized by synchronous presentations and synchronous discussions. Full two-way video links are part of the concept.

1998 - Multi-site real-time traditional conference characterized by asynchronous presentations and synchronous discussions. The concept has evolved so that the sessions would be recorded on CR-ROMS that would be available in each session room. Full two-way video links are still part of the concept for the discussions.

1999 - Web assisted single site conference. All presentations are downloadable from the WebConference site. Discussions take place asynchronously. These discussions may happen in a manner similar to the UMUC WebTycho distance learning environment or via a Listserver. Two-way video links are eliminated. The WebConference evolved into the WebForum¹⁰ in late December.

FUTURE EXPERIMENTS

The major criticism leveled at the WebConference is that the person to person networking that takes place in a face-to-face conference is ignored. There are two kinds of person to person networking at traditional conferences, namely:

- Meeting old friends and acquaintances.
- Identifying and meeting people with similar interests for the first time.

¹⁰ WebForum is a follow on to the WebConference -a separate paper on the topic is in preparation.

WWW technology can be used to identify people with similar interests. The prototype Web-Conference allows registrants to indicate their topic interests and be placed on a Listserver for that topic. In that manner they can meet new people and discuss their topic. The University of South Australia (UniSA) is hosting a conference on 'Distance Education: An Open Question?' in September 2000 [HREF4]. The current plan is to web augment a number of the conference forum sessions by having the presentations posted on the conference web site in a WebForum environment. The reluctance and enthusiasm of presenters to produce asynchronous audio will be discussed with the presenters, and assistance provided as required. The opportunity will then be taken to perform side-by-side experiments into on-line communications dynamics using the "Question and Answer" (Q&A) discussions as follows:

- **One** - The Q&A discussion on some of the papers will be held in an asynchronous manner similar to the use of the WebConference in CSMN 648.
- **Two** - Q&A discussion on a number of other papers will be set up synchronously at a fixed time in a chat room.
- **Three** - The Q&A discussion on a further set of papers will be set up asynchronously via a Listserver. This will make the discussion appear as a series of E-mails arriving at the participant's machine. The participant will thus not have to do anything special to listen to the Questions and Answers.

The conference participants at 'Distance Education: An Open Question? In September 2000 will be notified that the experiment is in progress and will be invited to participate. A survey will be developed to obtain information about the user preferences between the asynchronous and synchronous interactions. By virtue of this experiment, we hope to understand more about synchronous and asynchronous interactions in on-line class/conference discussions. The findings from these experiments will be used both in this project and in optimizing the mostly asynchronous classroom.

LESSONS LEARNED FOR SYSTEMS ENGINEERS

As more was learned about the capabilities of the technologies and as the capabilities of other technologies were discovered, the requirements for the conference evolved. However, had the conference been held at any time since 1998, each concept would have met the requirements. Thus it seems that the goal of the system engineering is to provide a system that:

- Meets the customer's requirements as stated when the project starts.
- Meets the customer's requirements as they exist when the project is delivered.
- Is flexible enough to allow cost effective modifications as the customer's requirements continue to evolve during the operations and maintenance phase of the system life cycle.

This is of course impossible with today's technology. However, in many projects it may be possible to come close and achieve a large degree of convergence between the requirements and the capability of the system using the following techniques.

The major lesson seems to be **not to identify all the requirements** at the start of the project, but to identify the:

- **Highest priority requirements** - The show stoppers. The risk here are the failure to identify the critical requirements and the failure to set the priority correctly.
- **Real requirements** - As opposed to apparent requirements. Two-way real-time video links do not seem to be a requirement. By eliminating them from the concept, the system became much simpler. Again this is not an easy task.

One way to make a start is to use the Categorized Requirements in Process approach (Kasser 1997) and estimate the cost to implement the requirements and well as their priorities. When high cost requirements show up as low priority requirements, the customer will either have to delete the requirement or change the priority.

Since the requirements change over time, there is a need for build planning. The system must be built in such a manner that the requirements are implemented in the order of their priority (Kasser 1995).

The design path takes one from the domain of

where everything is possible to what is actually possible. Thus:

- **Detailed design decisions should be made on a just in time (JIT) basis.** There is no need to complete the design before starting a build. However, the design must be feasible. The risk here is in determining the feasibility of the design. For example, in this case, synchronous voice communications can be achieved using the conventional telephone service or over the Internet. There is no need to make that decision early in the design cycle. The characteristics of the telephone link are known. The characteristics of Internet voice links are less known. Experiments can take place and the actual decision made just in time to implement the communications links. Since there is a possibility that the requirement for synchronous communications may be deleted in the future, any design effort would be wasted if the requirement were eliminated. In addition, if the requirement is not eliminated, then advantages can be taken of improvement in technology and/or cost reductions over time.
- **Design decisions must also maximize the "don't cares" as well.** The example here is Internet voice works (risk minimal) but the actual choice of the software can wait for a while. A better example is from (Kasser 1995). In the Luz solar electrical power generating system, each local controller utilized a sun sensor for accurately positioning the mirror. The sun sensor used a lens to focus the sun onto a pair of photo diodes. During the assembly process, the diodes were glued to a baseplate with a transparent glue. The physics department who were building the sun sensors did not place a requirement that there be no glue on the side of the diode illuminated by the sun. After all, the glue was transparent. A year or so later, they found that the glue slowly became opaque when subjected daily to the very high temperature at the focal point of the lens. This phenomenon resulted in the need to replace all the sun sensors. From a manufacturing perspective, there was little difference in mounting the diodes if the glue could or could not be allowed to cover the

face of the diode, just a matter of care. Nobody asked about the characteristics of the glue of long periods of time under high temperature. If the requirement had been placed on the process, not to allow glue on the face of the diode, the characteristics of the glue under the high temperature conditions would not have mattered and the expensive sun-sensor replacements would have been avoided.

CONCLUSIONS

Systems Engineering to Sydney has been an interesting learning experience. The evolution of the concept and the major change from a synchronous to an asynchronous conference could not have been foretold at the start of the project. While the project is relatively simple, it has illustrated many principles of systems and requirements engineering.

REFERENCES

- Debrecceny, R., Ellis, A., "Sheer Joy, Or: Running an Australian World Wide Web Conference, AusWeb99", *The Fifth Australian World Wide Web Conference* [HREF5].
- Kasser, J.E., *Applying Total Quality Management to Systems Engineering*, Artech House, June 1995.
- Kasser, J.E., "What Do You Mean, You Can't Tell Me How Much of My Project Has Been Completed?", *The 7th International Council on Systems Engineering (INCOSE) International Symposium*, Los Angeles, CA, 1997
- Kasser J.E., Weiskopf, M.V., "Systems Engineering to Sydney", *The 8th INCOSE International Symposium*, Vancouver BC, 1998.
- Kasser, J.E, Goff, D., "Asynchronous Desktop Collaboration using Distance Learning Technology", *The 4th Annual Intelligence Community Desktop Collaboration Conference and Expo*, Virginia Beach, VA, 1999.
- Kasser, J.E., "A Virtual Web Conference using Asynchronous Distance-Learning Technology" as a part of the panel presentation on "Expanding Systems Engineering using the Internet", *The 9th INCOSE International Symposium*, Brighton, England, 1999w.
- Kasser, J.E., Cohen, D. MacKenzie, G., Rosen-

- baum, A., "Producing Audio Presentations for Asynchronous Distance Education", *University System of Maryland Web Initiative in Teaching Conference and Showcase*, College Park, MD, 1999.
- Kasser, J.E., Cohen, D., "Creating the Voice Lecture-Presentation", *The 5th International Conference on Asynchronous Learning Networks*, College Park, MD, 1999.
- Kasser, J.E., Kerby, S., "Teaching Software Maintenance Online via (Mostly) Asynchronous Distance Learning", *Forum for Advancing Software Engineering Education (FASE)*, Volume 9 Number 10, October 15, 1999.
- Kasser, J.E., Goff, D., MacKenzie, G., "Bringing the Master of Software Engineering Degree On-line at University of Maryland University College", *The Systems Engineering, Test & Evaluation Conference (SETE-99) Conceiving, Producing and Guaranteeing Quality Systems*, Adelaide, South Australia, October 1999.
- Kuhn, T.S., *The Structure of Scientific Revolutions*, The University of Chicago Press, Second Edition, Enlarged, 1970.

HYPERLINK REFERENCES

Href1

nova.umuc.edu/~jkasser/SE2S/webconf.htm,
last accessed December 30, 1999

Href2

www.umuc.edu/~jkasser/distance/halfwit.ram,
last accessed December 30, 1999

Href3

[www.arch.usyd.edu.au/kcdc/conferences/dcne
t99](http://www.arch.usyd.edu.au/kcdc/conferences/dcnet99), last accessed December 30, 1999

Href4 www.com.unisa.edu.au/cccc/, last accessed December 30, 1999.

Href5

[file:///C|/_For_CD/ausweb/http__ausweb_scu_edu_au_aw99_papers_skeleton_paper_html
(7055).HTM]

(ITS) at the Graduate School of Management and Technology at UMUC. There, he was responsible for the Master of Software Engineering (MSWE) degree and the Software Development Management (SDM) track of the Master of Science in Computer Systems Management (CSMN) degree. He is the author of "Applying Total Quality Management to Systems Engineering" and more than thirty publications. His web site at <http://www.umuc.edu/~jkasser> spans the globe. Dr. Kasser also teaches software engineering at UMUC via distance education.

BIOGRAPHY

- Dr. Kasser is an Associate DSTO Research Professor at the University of South Australia. Prior to taking up this position he was a Director of Information and Technical Studies